## WO

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

United States of America  V.	URDER OF DI	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Ricardo Luna-Mena	Case Number:	15-9192MJ	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) was present and represented by counsel. I conclude by a prisk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in	reponderance of the evidence		
FIND find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	INGS OF FACT		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United	States or lawfully admitted for	or permanent residence.	
The defendant, at the time of the charged or	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
If released herein, the defendant faces rem Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the judeported or otherwise removed.	oval proceedings by the Bure urisdiction of this Court and th	eau of Immigration and Customs e defendant has previously been	
The defendant has no significant contacts in	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
The defendant has no resources in the Unit calculated to assure his/her future appearant	the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably appearance.		
The defendant has a prior criminal history.	nistory.		
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.	has no substantial ties in Ariz	zona or in the United States and has	
There is a record of prior failure to appear in	n court as ordered.		
The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
The defendant is facing a maximum of	years im	prisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material fir Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as not	ndings of the Pretrial Services ed in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
CONCL	USIONS OF LAW		
<ol> <li>There is a serious risk that the defendant w</li> <li>No condition or combination of conditions w</li> </ol>		pearance of the defendant as required.	
DIRECTIONS R	EGARDING DETENTION		
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Alin a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from the pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable order of a court of the United States or on request of an attofacility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Mars	om persons awaiting or servingle opportunity for private coorney for the Government, the	ng sentences or being held in custody nsultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
	THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this deter	ntion order be filed with the D	istrict Court, it is counsel's responsibility	

to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>June 22</u>, 2015

Eileen S. Willett United States Magistrate Judge